IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION No. 5:17-CT-3036-D

ARTHUR JAY GOULETTE,)
Plaintiff,)
v.	ORDER
DR. VINCENT P. WILSON and DR. PAULA SMITH,)
Defendants.)

On February 4, 2019, Magistrate Judge Numbers issued a Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") [D.E. 83] and recommended denying defendant Dr. Paula Smith's ("Smith") motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 64]. No party objected to the M&R.

"The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the magistrate judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." <u>Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.</u>, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (emphasis, alteration, and quotation omitted); <u>see</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Absent a timely objection, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Diamond</u>, 416 F.3d at 315 (quotation omitted).

The court has reviewed the M&R and the record. The court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record. Accordingly, the court adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 83].

In sum, the court adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 83] and DENIES Smith's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 64].

SO ORDERED. This <u>18</u> day of February 2019.

JAMES C. DEVER III
United States District Judge